

L-FACTORS AND ϵ -FACTORS
SEMINAR ON THE PROOF OF LOCAL LANGLANDS

ROBIN ZHANG

1. NOTATION

- $\mathfrak{p} :=$ a fixed prime
- $K :=$ a \mathfrak{p} -adic field, i.e. a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$
- $\bar{K} :=$ an algebraic closure of K
- $\mathcal{O}_K :=$ the ring of integers of K
- $U_n(K) :=$ the subgroup of unipotent upper triangular matrices in $GL_n(K)$.

2. GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS

Let us recall a few facts and definitions about generic representations.

Definition 2.1. Fix a nontrivial additive quasi-character $\psi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $n := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \psi(\pi_{\bar{K}}^{-m} \mathcal{O}_K) = 1\}$, and define

$$U_n(K) \xrightarrow{\theta_\psi} K^\times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & u_{ij} \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \psi(u_{12} + \dots + u_{n-1,n}).$$

An irreducible and smooth representation π of $GL_n(K)$ is *generic* (or *non-degenerate*) if $\text{Hom}_{U_n(K)}(\pi|_{U_n(K)}, \theta_\psi)$ is nonzero.

Question 2.2. Which representations are generic?

Date: September 25, 2018.

Fact 2.3. (1) π is generic if and only if π^\vee is generic.

(2) Given any multiplicative quasicharacter $\chi : \mathbf{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, π is generic if and only if $\chi\pi := (\chi \circ \det) \otimes \pi$ is generic.

(3) The choice of ψ does not matter.

Theorem 2.4 (Gelfand-Kazhdan). *Every irreducible admissible supercuspidal representation is generic.*

Theorem 2.5 (Bernstein-Zelevinsky). *Let $\pi = \mathbf{Q}(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r)$ be irreducible and admissible for some intervals Δ . Then π is generic if and only if Δ_i, Δ_j are not linked for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.*

Corollary 2.6. *Every essentially tempered (and supercuspidal) representation is generic.*

Definition 2.7. Let (π, V) be a generic representation. A *Whittaker functional* for π is a functional $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(\pi(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}) = \theta_\psi(\mathbf{u})\lambda(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}_n(\mathbf{K}), \mathbf{v} \in V$.

Proposition 2.8. *If π is generic, there exists a Whittaker functional for π .*

Definition 2.9. Fix a Whittaker functional λ . The *Whittaker model* for π is

$$\mathbf{W}_{\pi, \psi} := \{ \mathbf{W}_\mathbf{v} : \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \mathbf{W}_\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{g}) = \lambda(\pi(\mathbf{g})\mathbf{v}) \}$$

with a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ -action given by right translation (i.e. $\mathbf{g}\mathbf{W}_\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{g}\mathbf{v}}$).

Fact 2.10. (1) $\mathbf{W}_{\pi, \psi}$ is irreducible.

(2) $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{W}_\mathbf{v}$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ -isomorphism.

(3) The Whittaker model $\mathbf{W}_{\pi, \psi}$ does not depend on choice of ψ (up to isomorphism).

Then we have the *multiplicity one theorem*.

Theorem 2.11 (Shalika). *The dimension of the space of Whittaker functionals is at most 1, i.e.*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})}(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{U}_n(\mathbb{K})}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})} \theta_{\psi})) \leq 1,$$

so if π admits a Whittaker model then it is unique.

3. $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ SIDE FOR GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS

Setup 3.1. Let π and π' be smooth irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{K})$ respectively. Assume π, π' are generic. Assume $\psi : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is unitary (i.e. $\psi^{-1} = \overline{\psi}$).

3.1. **Case $n' = n$.** Let

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K}^n) := \{\text{locally constant functions } \phi : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ with compact support}\}$$

denote the Schwartz space on \mathbb{K}^n . Let

$$Z(W, W', \phi, s) := \int_{\mathbb{U}_n(\mathbb{K}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})} W(g)W'(g)\phi((0, \dots, 0, 1)g) |\det g|^s dg,$$

for $W \in W_{\pi, \psi}$, $W' \in W_{\pi', \overline{\psi}}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K}^n)$, and dg is a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant measure on $\mathbb{U}_n(\mathbb{K}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

Note that $Z(W, W', \phi, s)$ absolutely converges for $\mathrm{Re} s \gg 0$ and is a rational function in q^{-s} . In particular, the set

$$Z := \{Z(W, W', \phi, s) \mid W \in W_{\pi, \psi}, W' \in W_{\pi', \overline{\psi}}, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K}^n)\}$$

generates a fractional ideal in $\mathbb{C}[[q^{-s}]][[q^s]]$ with a unique generator of the form $P(q^{-s})^{-1}$ for some polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $P(0) = 1$.

Definition 3.2. Let $L(\pi \times \pi', s)$ be the unique generator of the fractional ideal generated by Z in $\mathbb{C}[[q^{-s}]][[q^s]]$.

Now we can define the ϵ -factors. Let $w_n \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})$ be the permutation matrix corresponding to the longest Weyl group element (i.e. sending $i \mapsto n + 1 - i$). For $W \in W_{\pi, \psi}$, define $\widetilde{W} \in W_{\pi^\vee, \overline{\psi}}$ by $g \mapsto W(w_n^t g^{-1})$ and similarly for $\widetilde{W}' \in W_{\pi'^\vee, \psi}$.

Definition 3.3. Define $\epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s)$ via

$$\frac{Z(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}', 1 - s, \widehat{\phi})}{L(\pi^\vee \times \pi'^\vee, 1 - s)} = \omega_{\pi'}(-1)^n \epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s) \frac{Z(W, W', s, \phi)}{L(\pi \times \pi', s)},$$

where $\omega_{\pi'} : Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the central character of (π', V') and $\widehat{\phi}$ is the Fourier transform of ϕ .

3.2. **Case $n' < n$.** For $j \in \{0, \dots, n - n' - 1\}$, define

$$Z(W, W', j, s) := \int_{\mathbf{U}_n(\mathbf{K}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K})} \int_{M_{j \times n'}(\mathbf{K})} W \left(\begin{pmatrix} g & & & \\ & x & & \\ & & I_j & \\ & & & I_{n-n'-j} \end{pmatrix} \right) W'(g) |\det g|^{s - \frac{n-n'}{2}} dx dg.$$

where dx is a Haar measure on $M_{j \times n'}(\mathbf{K})$ and W, W', dg as before. This converges absolutely if $\mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 0$, is a rational function of q^{-s} , and generates a fractional ideal as we vary W, W', j with unique generator.

Again, let $L(\pi \times \pi', s)$ be the unique generator of the fractional ideal generated by the set of such Z . Additionally, let

$$w_{n, n'} := \begin{pmatrix} I_{n'} & & \\ & w_{n-n'} & \\ & & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{K}).$$

Finally, define the epsilon factors as follows.

Definition 3.4. Define $\epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s)$ via

$$\frac{Z(w_{n, n'} \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}', n - n' - 1 - j, 1 - s)}{L(\pi^\vee \times \pi'^\vee, 1 - s)} = \omega_{\pi'}(-1)^{n-1} \epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s) \frac{Z(w, w', j, s)}{L(\pi \times \pi', s)}.$$

3.3. **Case $n' > n$.** Define $L(\pi' \times \pi, s) := L(\pi \times \pi', s)$ and $\epsilon(\pi' \times \pi, \psi, s) := \epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s)$.

3.4. **General facts.**

Fact 3.5. (1) The L-factor does not depend on the choice of ψ .

(2) The ϵ -factor is of the form cq^{-fs} with $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ which depend only on ψ, π , and π' .

Proposition 3.6. If π, π' are supercuspidal, then

$$L(\pi \times \pi', s) = \prod_{\substack{\chi: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \chi^{\pi'^\vee} \cong \pi}} L(\chi, s).$$

In particular, if $n' \neq n$, then $L(\pi \times \pi', s) = 1$.

We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7 (Bushnell-Henniart). For π irreducible and admissible,

$$\epsilon(\pi \times \pi^\vee, \psi, 1/2) = \omega_\pi(-1)^{n-1}.$$

4. $GL_n(K)$ SIDE FOR ARBITRARY SMOOTH REPRESENTATIONS

We now inductively define these factors for more general smooth representations using the Bernstein-Zelevinsky classification.

Definition 4.1. Let π, π' be arbitrary smooth representations. Define

(1) $L(\pi \times \pi', s) = L(\pi' \times \pi, s)$ and $\epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s) = \epsilon(\pi' \times \pi, \psi, s)$

(2) If $\pi = Q(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r)$, then

$$L(\pi \times \pi', s) := \prod_{i=1}^r L(Q(\Delta_i) \times \pi, s)$$

$$\epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s) := \prod_{i=1}^r \epsilon(Q(\Delta_i) \times \pi, \psi, s).$$

- (3) If $\pi = Q(\Delta)$ with $\Delta = [\sigma, \sigma(r-1)]$ and $\pi' = Q(\Delta')$ with $\Delta' = [\sigma', \sigma'(r-1)]$ and $r' \geq r$, then

$$L(\pi \times \pi', s) := \prod_{i=1}^r L(\sigma \times \sigma', s + r + r' - i)$$

$$\epsilon(\pi \times \pi', \psi, s) := \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r+r'-2i} \epsilon(\sigma \times \sigma', \psi, s + i + j - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{r+r'-2i-1} \frac{L(\sigma^\vee \times \sigma'^\vee, 1 - s - i - j)}{L(\sigma \times \sigma', s + i + j - 1)} \right)$$

Remark 4.2. When π, π' are arbitrary smooth representations, they may not have Whittaker models.

If we have one smooth irreducible representation π , we may also define its L-factors and ϵ -factors.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathbb{K}^\times : \mathbb{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the trivial multiplicative character.

$$L(\pi, s) := L(\pi \times \mathbb{K}, s)$$

$$\epsilon(\pi, \psi, s) := \epsilon(\pi \times \mathbb{K}, \psi, s)$$

Remark 4.4. (1) If $\mathfrak{n} = 1$, then $L(\pi, s)$ and $\epsilon(\pi, \psi, s)$ are the local factors defined in Tate's thesis.

(2) If $\mathfrak{n} > 1$ and π is supercuspidal, then $L(\pi, s) = 1$ and $\epsilon(\pi, \psi, s)$ is given by a generalized Gauss sum.

Definition 4.5. For (π, V) smooth irreducible and $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let

$$K_n(\mathfrak{t}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{a} & \mathfrak{b} \\ \mathfrak{c} & \mathfrak{d} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \mathfrak{c} \in M_{1 \times (n-1)}(\pi_K^\mathfrak{t} \mathcal{O}_K) \text{ and } \mathfrak{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_K^\mathfrak{t} \mathcal{O}_K} \right\}$$

Remark 4.6. Note that $K_n(0) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Definition 4.7. The conductor $f(\pi)$ of π is the smallest \mathfrak{t} such that $V^{K_n(\mathfrak{t})} \neq 0$.

Fact 4.8 (Jacquet–Piatetski-Shapiro–Shalika). *For π generic and $\mathfrak{n}(\psi)$ the exponent of ψ ,*

$$\epsilon(\pi, \psi, s) = \epsilon(\pi, \psi, 0) \mathfrak{q}^{-s(f(\pi) + \mathfrak{n} \cdot \mathfrak{n}(\psi))}$$

5. GALOIS SIDE

Let $\rho((\mathfrak{r}, V), N)$ be a Frobenius semisimple Weil–Deligne representation. Let V_N be the kernel of N and let V^{I_K} be the invariants under the action of I_K .

Definition 5.1. For ρ Frobenius semisimple with $\Phi \in W_K$ the geometric Frobenius, define $L(\rho, s) := \det \left(1 - \mathfrak{q}^{-s} \Phi|_{V_N^{\text{I}_K}} \right)^{-1}$.

Proposition 5.2. *If ρ and ρ' are irreducible Weil–Deligne representations of dimension \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{n}' respectively, then*

$$L(\rho \otimes \rho', s) = \prod_{\substack{\chi: K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ unramified} \\ \chi \otimes \rho^\vee = \rho'}} L(\chi, s).$$

Remark 5.3. If $\mathfrak{n}' \neq \mathfrak{n}$, then $L(\rho \otimes \rho', s) = 1$.

Definition 5.4. Let $\dim V = 1$, i.e. \mathfrak{r} is a character $\chi: W_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

(1) If χ is unramified,

$$\epsilon(\chi, \psi, dx) := \mathfrak{q}^{\mathfrak{n}(\psi)(1-s)} \text{vol}_{dx} \mathcal{O}_K,$$

where s is given by $\chi = |\cdot|^s$.

(2) If \mathfrak{r} is ramified, then

$$\epsilon(\chi, \psi, dx) = \int_{\mathfrak{c}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_K} \mathfrak{r}^{-1}(\text{Art}_K(x)) \psi(x) dx,$$

where $\mathfrak{c} \in K^\times$ such that the valuation of \mathfrak{c} is $\mathfrak{n}(\psi) + f(\chi)$ where

$$f(\chi) := \min \{ f \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \chi(\text{Art}_K(1 + \pi_K^f \mathcal{O}_K)) = 1 \},$$

is the conductor of χ .

Theorem 5.5 (Langlands, Deligne). *There is a unique function ϵ such that*

- (1) *If $\dim V = 1$, then $\epsilon(r, \psi, dx)$ is as in Definition 5.4.*
- (2) *As a function of $\text{Rep}(W_K)$, $\epsilon(\cdot, \psi, dx)$ is multiplicative in exact sequences of representations of W_K , so we have a homomorphism*

$$\epsilon(\cdot, \psi, dx) : \text{Groth}(\text{Rep}(W_K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

- (3) *If $L'/L/K$ is a tower of finite extensions and μ_L and $\mu_{L'}$ are additive Haar measures on the Galois groups of L and L' over K respectively, and $[r'] \in \text{Groth}(\text{Rep}(W_K))$ with $\dim[r'] = 0$, then*

$$\epsilon(\text{Ind}_{L'/L}[r'], \psi \circ \text{tr}_{L/K, \mu_L}) = \epsilon([r'], \psi \circ \text{tr}_{L'/K, \mu_{L'}}).$$

Remark 5.6. If $\dim V = 1$, $\epsilon(r, \psi, \alpha dx) = \alpha \epsilon(r, \psi, dx)$, hence $\epsilon(r, \psi, \alpha dx) = \alpha^{\dim[r]} \epsilon(r, \psi, dx)$. In particular, the choice of dx does not matter if $\dim[r] = 0$.

Definition 5.7. For $\rho = ((r, V), N)$ a Weil–Deligne representation of dimension n , define

$$\epsilon(\rho, \psi, s) := \epsilon(|\cdot|^s r, \psi, dx) \det(-\phi|_{V^{I_K}/V_N^{I_K}}),$$

where dx is the self-dual Haar measure on K with respect to the Fourier transform by ψ .

Remark 5.8. $\epsilon(\rho, \psi, s)$ is not additive in exact sequences of Weil–Deligne representations because taking coinvariants is not exact.

Definition 5.9. Let ρ be an irreducible Weil–Deligne representation of dimension n . The conductor $f(\rho)$ is given by

$$\epsilon(\rho, \psi, s) = \epsilon(\rho, \psi, 0) q^{-s(f(\rho) + n \cdot n(\psi))}.$$

Example 5.10 ($\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{m})$). Let $\mathfrak{m} \geq 1$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}e_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{\mathfrak{m}-1}$. Define $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{m}) := ((r, V), \mathbf{N})$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}e_i &= e_{i+1} \\ \mathbf{N}e_{\mathfrak{m}-1} &= 0 \\ r(\mathfrak{w})e_i &= |\mathfrak{w}|^i e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then $V_{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbb{C}e_{\mathfrak{m}-1} = V_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\phi e_i = q^{-i} e_i$. So

$$L(\rho, s) = 1/(1 - q^{1-s-\mathfrak{m}}).$$

Now pick $\psi : \mathbb{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\mathfrak{n}(\psi) = 0$ (i.e. $\psi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}) = 1$ but $\psi(\pi_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}) \neq 1$). Then

$$\epsilon(r, \psi, dx) = q^{-\frac{\mathfrak{m}d}{2}} = (\mathrm{vol}_{dx}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}})^{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where d is the valuation of the absolute different of \mathbb{K} . Then

$$\epsilon(\rho, s, \psi) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{m}-1} q^{\frac{-\mathfrak{m}d - (\mathfrak{m}-2)(\mathfrak{m}-1)}{2}s}.$$

Fact 5.11. *Note that ρ is indecomposable if and only if $\rho \cong \rho_0 \otimes \mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{m})$ with ρ_0 are irreducible and $\mathfrak{m} \geq 1$.*

Consequently, ρ determines uniquely \mathfrak{m} and ρ_0 up to isomorphism. Furthermore, every Frobenius semi-simple Weil–Deligne representation is a direct sum of indecomposable Weil–Deligne representations.

6. LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE FOR GL_n OVER A p -ADIC FIELD

Recall for convenience,

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{K}) := \{\text{irreducible admissible representations of } \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})\}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\mathbb{K}) := \{\text{Frobenius semisimple complex Weil–Deligne representations of } W_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ of dimension } n\}.$$

Finally, we can state the local Langlands correspondence.

Theorem 6.1 (Local Langlands Correspondence for GL_n over a p -adic field).

There is a unique collection of bijections $\text{rec}_{\mathbb{K},n} : \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow G_n(\mathbb{K})$ such that

(1) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{K})$, $\text{rec}_1 = \pi \circ \text{Art}_{\mathbb{K}}^{-1}$.

(2) For $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{n_1}(\mathbb{K}), \pi_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{n_2}(\mathbb{K})$,

$$L(\pi_1 \times \pi_2, s) = L(\text{rec}_{n_1}(\pi_1) \otimes \text{rec}_{n_2}(\pi_2), s)$$

$$\epsilon(\pi_1 \times \pi_2, s, \psi) = \epsilon(\text{rec}_{n_1}(\pi_1) \otimes \text{rec}_{n_2}(\pi_2), s, \psi).$$

(3) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{K}), \chi \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathbb{K})$,

$$\text{rec}_n(\pi_\chi) = \text{rec}_n(\pi) \otimes \text{rec}_1(\chi).$$

(4) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{K}), \omega_\pi$ a central character,

$$\det \circ \text{rec}_n(\pi) = \text{rec}_1(\omega_\pi).$$

(5) For $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathbb{K})$,

$$\text{rec}_n(\pi^\vee) = \text{rec}_n(\pi)^\vee.$$

Furthermore, this collection does not depend on choice of ψ .

REFERENCES

- [Wed08] Torsten Wedhorn. The local Langlands correspondence for $GL(n)$ over p -adic fields. In *School on Automorphic Forms on $GL(n)$* , volume 21 of *ICTP Lect. Notes*, pages 237–320. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2008.

(Robin Zhang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Email address: rzhang@math.columbia.edu